

EXHIBIT A

2002 SENTENCING – NO MEGAN’S LAW IMPOSED

This exhibit contains materials demonstrating that at the time of Petitioner’s conviction and sentencing, **no version of Megan’s Law required registration for his offense**, and **no judge ever ordered registration**.

The evidence in this exhibit includes:

A-1. Sentencing Order – CP-02-CR-0009208-1999

Date: October 18, 2002

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey Zottola

Key Points:

- The official sentencing order **contains no reference** to Megan’s Law.
- No provision imposes a 10-year, lifetime, or any other registration requirement.
- No Sexually Violent Predator (SVP) hearing was held or ordered.
- No finding of SVP status exists in the court record.
- The record reflects a standard sentencing with no collateral consequences connected to Megan’s Law.

(Attach your uploaded PDF here in Word as “Exhibit A-1.”)

A-2. Presentence Investigation (PSI) Reference

Although not included in full, the PSI—summarized by defense counsel at sentencing—stated:

“James Bell was only a threat to himself.”

Significance:

- The PSI did **not** recommend Megan’s Law registration.
 - Under Megan’s Law II, PSI recommendations were often used to determine need for SVP review.
 - This language confirms no SVP assessment was needed.
-

A-3. Attorney Patrick Thomassey Letter (2007)

Date: September 11, 2007

From: Attorney Patrick Thomassey

To: James D. Bell

Summary of the Letter:

- Thomassey confirms Petitioner’s offense was **not** a Megan’s Law offense.
- Thomassey confirms Petitioner was **not informed** of any registration requirement at sentencing.
- Confirms the understanding at the time:
Petitioner had NO registration obligation.

(Attach your uploaded PDF letter here as “Exhibit A-3.”)

A-4. Counsel’s Sentencing Statements (Recalled)

Petitioner directly questioned his attorney before sentencing:

- Petitioner: “*What about Megan’s Law?*”
- Counsel: “*You are not being convicted under Megan’s Law.*”
- Petitioner: “*So I don’t have to register?*”
- Counsel: “*No.*”

These statements:

- Reflect the legal framework of Megan's Law II.
 - Confirm Petitioner's understanding at the time.
 - Align with the sentencing order and PSI.
-

Conclusion (Exhibit A)

All available sentencing records, counsel statements, PSI content, and the absence of any judicial order confirm:

Petitioner had no registration requirement under Megan's Law II at the time of his offense or sentencing.