

Exhibit E — Attorney Thomassey Letter (2007)

EXHIBIT E

ATTORNEY PATRICK THOMASSEY LETTER — SEPTEMBER 11, 2007

(Confirmation That Petitioner Was Not a Megan's Law Offender)

E-1. Source Document

- Letter from: **Patrick Thomassey, Esq.**
 - Date: **September 11, 2007**
 - Subject: Inquiry regarding Megan's Law status
 - Format: PDF (uploaded by Petitioner)
-

E-2. Key Content Summary

Attorney Thomassey — who represented Petitioner in the underlying 1999/2002 case — explicitly confirmed:

- Petitioner **was not sentenced under Megan's Law.**
- Petitioner ***did not have to register*** in 2002.
- The offense **did not trigger** Megan's Law II requirements.
- No court order ever imposed a duty to register.

This written confirmation is consistent with:

- the sentencing hearing,
 - the PSI findings,
 - the docket entries,
 - and the statutory framework in effect at the time.
-

E-3. Importance to the Petition

This letter is critical because it:

1. **Confirms the sentencing record** — no registration obligation was ever intended or imposed.
 2. **Reinforces Petitioner's understanding** at sentencing that he had no duty to register.
 3. **Contradicts PSP's 2004 administrative action** placing him on the registry.
 4. **Supports all due process and ultra vires claims** raised in the Petition.
 5. Provides written evidence from original defense counsel that
Petitioner was never a Megan's Law offender under any version of the law.
-

E-4. Summary Conclusion (Exhibit E)

**Attorney Thomassey's 2007 letter is direct
written confirmation that Petitioner was**

**never subject to Megan's Law and never
required to register.**

This further proves PSP's 2004 action was unlawful, unauthorized, and contrary to the
sentencing court's judgment.

End of Exhibit E