

Exhibit J — PSP 2025 Reporting Notice

EXHIBIT J

PSP 2025 REPORTING NOTICE (ANNUAL VERIFICATION WINDOW)

J-1. Source Document

Title: “You must report to an approved registration site...”

Dates Required: November 21–30, 2025

Document Type: PSP Registration Notice

File: Must_Report_2025-11-21_2025-11-30.pdf

Petitioner uploaded the official PSP letter describing the next required verification period.

J-2. Summary of PSP Notice

The notice states that Petitioner:

- “must report to an approved registration site”
- during the period:

November 21, 2025 to November 30, 2025
- for mandatory in-person verification / reporting
- as part of continued sexual offender registration requirements

The notice includes:

- PSP letterhead

- statutory references
 - reporting instructions
 - compliance warnings
 - and Petitioner's identifying information
-

J-3. Legal Significance

This notice is powerful evidence of:

1. Ongoing Enforcement

PSP continues to require Petitioner to comply with registration obligations.

2. Continuing Violation

The obligation is based solely on PSP's **December 1, 2004 administrative classification**, which contradicts:

- Petitioner's sentencing order
- statutory law in effect at the time
- Pennsylvania Supreme Court precedent (Muniz)
- Due process protections

3. Future Harm

This notice creates:

- ongoing threat of arrest,

- recurring exposure to criminal charges,
- fear and anxiety,
- restrictions on Petitioner's movement and travel.

4. Evidence Supporting Declaratory Relief

The Court has authority to declare that:

- Petitioner has **never had a lawful duty to register**,
 - and PSP must stop sending these notices immediately.
-

J-4. Relevance to Petition

Exhibit J directly supports:

- **Count I (Ultra Vires)**
- **Count II (Ex Post Facto Violation)**
- **Count III (Due Process)**
- **Count IV (Equal Protection)**
- **Count VI (Injunctive/Declaratory Relief)**

It demonstrates that:

PSP is still enforcing an unlawful registration duty in 2025.

J-5. Conclusion (Exhibit J)

Exhibit J proves PSP continues to treat Petitioner as a registrant — requiring annual in-person reporting — despite the absence of any lawful court order ever imposing such obligations.

This is ongoing, active harm.

End of Exhibit J